1. Introduction. In our last paper [1], we have established the existence of regular solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the quasilinear Poisson equation

$$\Delta U(z) = h(z) \cdot f(U(z))$$

in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$ with continuous boundary values. We assumed that $h: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{D})$, $p > 1$, and $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(t)/t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

This result and the theory of quasiconformal mappings (see, e.g., [2]), give a base for the study of the semilinear equations

$$\operatorname{div}[A(z)\nabla u(z)] = f(u(z))$$

(2)

describing many physical phenomena in anisotropic and inhomogeneous media.

Given a simply connected domain $D$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, denote, by $M^{2 \times 2}_K(D)$, the class of all $2 \times 2$ symmetric matrix functions $A(z) = \{a_{jk}(z)\}$ with measurable real-valued entries and $\det A(z) = 1$, satisfying the uniform ellipticity condition

$$\frac{1}{K} |\xi|^2 \leq \langle A(z)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq K |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } D$$

(3)
for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where $1 \leq K < \infty$.

Equations (2) are closely relevant to the so-called Beltrami equations. Let $\mu : D \to \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function with $|\mu(z)| < 1$ a.e. The equation

$$\omega = \mu(z) \cdot \omega_z,$$

(4)

where $\omega = (\omega_x + i \omega_y) / 2$, $\omega_z = (\omega_x - i \omega_y) / 2$, $z = x + iy$, $\omega_x$ and $\omega_y$ are partial derivatives of the function $\omega$ with respect to $x$ and $y$, is said to be a Beltrami equation. Equation (4) is said to be nondegenerate, if $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$. Homeomorphic solutions of the nondegenerate equations (4) with the first generalized derivatives by Sobolev are called quasiconformal mappings (see, e.g., [2]).

We say that a quasiconformal mapping $\omega$ satisfying (4) is agreed with $A \in M_K^{2 \times 2}(D)$, if

$$\mu(z) = \frac{a_{22}(z) - a_{11}(z) - 2ia_{12}(z)}{\det(I + A(z))},$$

(5)

where $I$ is the unit $2 \times 2$ matrix. Condition (3) is now written as

$$|\mu(z)| \leq \frac{K-1}{K+1} \text{ a.e. in } D.$$  

(6)

Vice versa, given a measurable function $\mu : D \to \mathbb{C}$, satisfying (6), one can invert the algebraic system (5) to obtain the matrix function $A \in M_K^{2 \times 2}(D)$:

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
    |1-\mu|^2 & -2\text{Im}\mu \\
    1-|\mu|^2 & 1-|\mu|^2 \\
    -2\text{Im}\mu & |1+\mu|^2 \\
    1-|\mu|^2 & 1-|\mu|^2
  \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(7)

Note that, by the known existence theorem for the Beltrami equations (see, e.g., Theorem V.1.3 in [2]), any $A \in M_K^{2 \times 2}(D)$ with condition (3) in a simply connected domain $D$ generates a quasiconformal mapping $\omega : D \to \mathbb{D}$ through Eq. (4) with $\mu$ given by (5), where $\mathbb{D}$ is the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$.

2. Some definitions and preliminary remarks. Following [3], under a weak solution of Eq. (2), we understand a function $u \in C \cap W^{1,2}_\text{loc}(\Omega)$ such that, for all $\eta \in C \cap W^{1,2}_0(D)$,

$$\int_D \langle A(z) \nabla u(z), \nabla \eta(z) \rangle \, dm(z) + \int_D f(u(z)) \eta(z) \, dm(z) = 0.$$  

(8)

A fundamental role in the study of the posed problem will play the following factorization theorem (see, e.g., [4], Theorem 1, or [3], Theorem 4.1). A function $u : D \to \mathbb{R}$ is a weak solution of (2) in the class $C \cap W^{1,2}_\text{loc}(D)$, iff $u = U \circ \omega$, where $\omega : D \to \mathbb{D}$ is a quasiconformal mapping agreed with $A$, and $U$ is a weak solution in the class $C \cap W^{1,2}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{D})$ of the quasilinear Poisson equation

$$\Delta U(w) = J(w) \cdot f(U(w)), \quad w \in \mathbb{D},$$

(9)
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The regularity properties of solutions of Eq. (9) strongly depends on the degree of integrability of \( J(ω) \). Note that the mapping \( ω^* := ω^{-1} \) is extended to a quasiconformal mapping of \( C \) onto itself, if \( \partial D \) is the so-called quasicircle (see, e.g., Theorem II.8.3 in [2]). The well-known Bojarski result (see, e.g., [5]) says that the generalized derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping in the plane are locally integrable with some power \( q > 2 \). Note also that the Jacobian \( J(ω) = |ω_{w^*}|^2 - |ω_{w^*}|^2 \). Consequently, in this case, \( J \in L^p(\mathbb{D}) \) for some \( p > 1 \).

Recall that the image of the unit disk \( \mathbb{D} \) under a quasiconformal mapping of \( C \) onto itself is called a quasidisk and its boundary is called a quasicircle or a quasiconformal curve. Recall also that a Jordan curve is a continuous one-to-one image of the unit circle in \( C \). As known, such a smooth \((C^1)\) or Lipschitz curve is a quasiconformal curve and, at the same time, quasiconformal curves can be even locally non-rectifiable, as it follows from the well-known Van Koch snowflake example (see, e.g., point II.8.10 in [2]).

By Theorem 4.7 in [6], cf. also Theorem 1 and Corollary in [7], the Jacobian of a quasiconformal homeomorphism \( ω^* : \mathbb{D} \to D \) is in \( L^p(\mathbb{D}) \), \( p > 1 \), iff \( D \) satisfies the quasihyperbolic boundary condition by Gehring–Martio (see [8]), i.e.

\[
k_D(z, z_0) \leq a \cdot \ln \frac{d(z_0, \partial D)}{d(z, \partial D)} + b \quad \forall z \in D
\]  

for some constants \( a \) and \( b \) and a fixed point \( z_0 \in D \), where \( k_D(z, z_0) \) is the quasihyperbolic distance between the points \( z \) and \( z_0 \) in the domain \( D \),

\[
k_D(z, z_0) := \inf_γ \int_γ \frac{ds}{d(ζ, \partial D)}.
\]  

Here, \( d(ζ, \partial D) \) denotes the Euclidean distance from a point \( ζ \in D \) to the boundary of \( D \) and the infimum is taken over all rectifiable curves \( γ \) joining the points \( z \) and \( z_0 \) in \( D \).

Recall that a domain \( D \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), is called satisfying (A)-condition, if

\[
\mes D \cap B(ζ, ρ) \leq Θ_0 \cdot \mes B(ζ, ρ) \quad \forall ζ \in \partial D, \quad ρ \leq ρ_0,
\]  

for some \( Θ_0 \) and \( ρ_0 \in (0, 1) \) (see 1.1.3 in [9]). Recall also that a domain \( D \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), is said to satisfy the outer cone condition, if there is a cone that makes possible to be touched by its top to every boundary point of \( D \) from the completion of \( D \) after its suitable rotation and shift. It is clear that the outer cone condition implies (A)-condition.

Remark 1. Note that the quasidisks \( D \) satisfy (A)-condition. Indeed, the quasidisks are the so-called QED – domains by Gehring–Martio (see, Theorem 2.22 in [10]), and the latter satisfy the condition

\[
\mes D \cap B(ζ, ρ) \leq Θ_* \cdot \mes B(ζ, ρ) \quad \forall ζ \in \partial D, \quad ρ \leq \text{dia} D
\]  

for some \( Θ_* \in (0, 1) \) (see, Lemma 2.13 in [10]), and the quasidisks (as domains with quasihyperbolic boundary) have boundaries of the zero Lebesgue measure (see, e.g., Theorem 2.4 in [6]).
Thus, it remains to note that, by definition, the completions of quasidisks \( D \) in the the extended complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \) are also quasidisks up to the inversion with respect to a circle in \( D \).

Probably, the first example of a simply connected plane domain \( D \) with the quasihyperbolic boundary condition, which is not a quasidisk, was constructed in [7], Theorem 2. However, this domain satisfieds (A)-condition. Probably, one of the simplest examples of a domain \( D \) with the quasihyperbolic boundary condition and without (A)-condition is the union of 3 open disks with the radius 1 centered at the points 0 and \( 1 \pm i \). It is clear that the domain has zero interior angle at its boundary point 1 and, consequently, by Remark 1, it is not a quasidisk.


Theorem 1. Let \( D \) be a Jordan domain in \( \mathbb{C} \) satisfying the quasihyperbolic boundary condition, \( \mathbf{A} \in M^{2 \times 2}_{\mathbb{R}}(D) \), let \( \varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous function, and let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous function such that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0. \tag{14}
\]

Then there is a weak solution \( u : D \to \mathbb{R} \) of Eq. (2), which is locally Hölder-continuous in \( D \) and continuous in \( \bar{D} \) with \( u|_{\partial D} = \varphi \). If, in addition, \( \varphi \) is Hölder-continuous, then \( u \) is Hölder-continuous in \( \bar{D} \).

Proof. By Theorem 1 in [4] (see, also Theorem 4.1 in [3]), if \( u \) is a weak solution of (2), then \( u = U \circ \omega \), where \( \omega \) is a quasiconformal mapping of \( D \) onto the unit disk \( \mathbb{D} \) agreed with \( \mathbf{A} \), and \( U \) is a weak solution of Eq. (9) with \( h = J \), where \( J \) stands for the Jacobian of \( \omega^{-1} \). It is also easy to see that if \( U \) is a weak solution of (9) with \( h = J \), then \( u = U \circ \omega \) is a weak solution of (2). This allows us to reduce the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (2) with a continuous boundary function \( \varphi \) in the simply connected Jordan domain \( D \) to the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (9) in the unit disk \( \mathbb{D} \) with the continuous boundary function \( \psi = \varphi \circ \omega^{-1} \). Indeed, \( \omega \) is extended to a homeomorphism of \( \bar{D} \) onto \( \mathbb{D} \) (see, e.g., Theorem I.8.2 in [2]). Thus, the function \( \psi \) is well defined and really is continuous on the unit circle.

It is well-known that the quasiconformal mapping \( \omega \) is locally Hölder-continuous in \( D \) (see Theorem 3.5 in [5]). Taking into account that \( D \) is a Jordan domain in \( \mathbb{C} \) satisfying a quasihyperbolic boundary condition, we can show that both mappings \( \omega \) and \( \omega^{-1} \) are Hölder-continuous in \( \bar{D} \) and \( \mathbb{D} \), correspondingly. Indeed, \( \omega = H \circ \Omega \), where \( \Omega \) is a conformal (Riemann) mapping of \( D \) onto \( \mathbb{D} \), and \( H \) is a quasiconformal mapping of \( \mathbb{D} \) onto itself. The mappings \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega^{-1} \) are Hölder-continuous in \( \bar{D} \) and in \( \mathbb{D} \), correspondingly, by Theorem 1 and its corollary in [7]. Next, by the reflection principle, \( H \) can be extended to a quasiconformal mapping of \( \mathbb{C} \) onto itself (see, e.g., I.8.4 in [2]), and, consequently, \( H \) and \( H^{-1} \) are also Hölder-continuous in \( \mathbb{D} \) (see again Theorem 3.5 in [5]). Thus, the Hölder continuity of \( \omega \) and \( \omega^{-1} \) in closed domains follows immediately.

Finally, it is easy to see that if \( \varphi \) is Hölder-continuous, then \( \psi \) is also so, and all the conclusions of Theorem 1 follow from Theorem 3 in [1].

Remark 2. In Theorem 3 of [1], we assumed additionally that \( |f| \) is nondecreasing with respect to \( t \). However, setting \( f_{\ast}(s) = \max_{|t| \leq s} |f(t)|, \ s \in \mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty) \), we see that the function \( f_{\ast} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is continuous and nondecreasing. Moreover, \( f_{\ast}(s) / s \to 0 \) as \( s \to \infty \) by (14). Hence, all estimates in the proof of Theorem 3 in [1] remain valid without this additional condition after the change \( f \to f_{\ast} \).
Corollary 1. In particular, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 on $D$, $\varphi$, and $f$, there is a weak solution $U$ of the quasilinear Poisson equation

$$\Delta U(z) = f(U(z)) \quad \text{for a.e. } z \in D$$

which is locally Hölder-continuous in $D$ and continuous in $\overline{D}$ with $U|_{\partial D} = \varphi$. If, in addition, $\varphi$ is Hölder-continuous, then $U$ is Hölder-continuous in $\overline{D}$.

4. Some applied corollaries. The interest in this subject is well known both from a purely theoretical point of view, due to its deep relations to linear and nonlinear harmonic analysis, and because of numerous applications of equations of this type in various areas of physics, differential geometry, logistic problems, etc. (see, e.g., [11], [12], and the references therein). In particular, the excellent book by M. Marcus and L. Veron [12] contains a comprehensive analysis of the Dirichlet problem for the semilinear equation

$$\Delta u(z) = f(z, u(z))$$

in smooth ($C^2$) domains $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, with boundary data in $L^1$. Here, $t \to f(\cdot, t)$ is a continuous mapping from $\mathbb{R}$ to a weighted Lebesgue space $L^1(D, \rho)$, and $z \to f(z, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function for every $z \in D$, $f(z, 0) \equiv 0$, with

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(z, t)}{t} = \infty$$

uniformly with respect to the parameter $z$ in compact subsets of $D$.

The mathematical modeling of some reaction-diffusion problems leads to the study of the corresponding Dirichlet problem for Eq. (1) with specified right-hand side. Following [13], a nonlinear system can be obtained for the density $u$ and the temperature $T$ of a reactant. Upon eliminating $T$, the system can be reduced to a scalar problem for the concentration

$$\Delta u = \lambda \cdot f(u),$$

where $\lambda$ stands for a positive constant.

It turns out that the reactant density $u$ may be zero in a closed interior region $D_0$ called a dead core. If, for instance, in Eq. (18), $f(u) = u^q$, $q > 0$, a particularization of the results in Chapter 1 of [11] shows that a dead core may exist, if and only if $0 < q < 1$ and $\lambda$ is large enough. See also the corresponding examples of dead cores in [3]. We have, by Theorem 1, the following:

Theorem 2. Let $D$ be a Jordan domain in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the quasihyperbolic boundary condition, $A \in M_{2n}^{2n}(D)$, $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a weak solution $u : D \to \mathbb{R}$ of the semilinear equation

$$\text{div} [A(z) \nabla u(z)] = u^q(z), \quad 0 < q < 1$$

which is locally Hölder-continuous in $D$ and continuous in $\overline{D}$ with $u|_{\partial D} = \varphi$. If, in addition, $\varphi$ is Hölder-continuous, then $u$ is Hölder-continuous in $\overline{D}$.

We have also the following consequence of Corollary 1.
Corollary 2. Let $D$ be a smooth Jordan domain in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a weak solution $U$ of the quasilinear Poisson equation

$$\Delta U(z) = U^q(z), \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

which is continuous in $\overline{D}$ with $U|_{\partial D} = \varphi$ and $U \in C^{1,\alpha}_{{\text{loc}}}(D)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$. If, in addition, $\varphi$ is Hölder-continuous with some order $\beta \in (0,1)$, then $U$ is also Hölder-continuous in $\overline{D}$ with the same order.

Recall also that certain mathematical models of a heated plasma lead to nonlinear equations of the type (18). Indeed, it is known that some of them have the form $\Delta \psi(u) = f(u)$ with $\psi'(0) = +\infty$ and $\psi'(u) > 0$, if $u \neq 0$, as, for instance, $\psi(u) = |u|^{q-1} u$ under $0 < q < 1$ (see, e.g., [14] and [11, p. 4]). With the replacement of the function $U = \psi(u) = |u|^q \cdot \text{sign } u$, we have that $u = |U|^Q \cdot \text{sign } U$, $Q = 1/q$, and, with the choice $f(u) = |u|^q \cdot \text{sign } u$, we come to the equation $\Delta U = |U|^q \cdot \text{sign } U = \psi(U)$.

Corollary 3. Let $D$ be a smooth Jordan domain in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a weak solution $U$ of the quasilinear Poisson equation

$$\Delta U(z) = |U(z)|^{q-1} U(z), \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

which is continuous in $\overline{D}$ with $U|_{\partial D} = \varphi$ and $U \in C^{1,\alpha}_{{\text{loc}}}(D)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$. If, in addition, $\varphi$ is Hölder-continuous with some order $\beta \in (0,1)$, then $U$ is also Hölder-continuous in $\overline{D}$ with the same order.

In the combustion theory, the following model equation

$$\frac{\partial u(z,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\delta} \Delta u + u^2, \quad t \geq 0, \quad z \in D,$$

occupies a special place (see, e.g., [15] and the references therein). Here, $u \geq 0$ is the temperature of the medium, and $\delta$ is a certain positive parameter. We restrict ourselves by stationary solutions of (22) and generalizations in anisotropic and inhomogeneous media, although our approach makes it possible to consider the parabolic case as well (see [3]). Namely, by Theorem 1, we have:

Theorem 3. Let $D$ be a Jordan domain in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the quasihyperbolic boundary condition, $A \in M^{2\times 2}_K(D)$, and let $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a weak solution $u : D \to \mathbb{R}$ of the semilinear equation

$$\text{div } [A(z) \nabla U(z)] = \delta \cdot e^{-U(z)},$$

which is locally Hölder-continuous in $D$ and continuous in $\overline{D}$ with $u|_{\partial D} = \varphi$. If, in addition, $\varphi$ is Hölder-continuous, then $u$ is Hölder-continuous in $\overline{D}$.

Finally, we obtain the following consequence of Corollary 1.

Corollary 4. Let $D$ be a smooth Jordan domain in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a weak solution $U$ of the quasilinear Poisson equation

$$\Delta U(z) = \delta \cdot e^{U(z)}$$
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which is continuous in \( \overline{D} \) with \( U|_{\partial D} = \varphi \) and \( U \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\alpha}(D) \) for all \( \alpha \in (0,1) \). If, in addition, \( \varphi \) is Hölder-continuous with some order \( \beta \in (0,1) \), then \( U \) is also Hölder-continuous in \( \overline{D} \) with the same order.
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ничну умову Герінга—Мартіо. Наведено приклад такої області, яка не задовольняє стандартну (А)-умову Ладиженської—Уральцевої та відому умову зовнішнього конуса. Також наведено деякі застосування отриманих результатів до різних процесів дифузії та поглинання в анизотропних і неоднорідних середовищах.

Ключові слова: задача Діріхле, напівлінійні еліптичні рівняння, конформні та квазіконформні відображення, анизотропні та неоднорідні середовища.
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О ПОЛУЛИНЕЙНИХ УРАВНЕНИЯХ НА КОМПЛЕКСНОЙ ПЛОСКОСТИ

Исследована задача Дирихле для полулинейных уравнений в частных производных  \( \text{div} (\mathbf{A} \nabla u) = f(u) \) в односвязных областях \( D \) комплексной плоскости \( \mathbb{C} \) с непрерывными граничными условиями. Доказано существование слабых решений \( u \) в классе \( C \cap W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(D) \), если Жорданова область удовлетворяет квазигиперболическому граничному условию Геринга—Мартио. Приведен пример такой области, которая не удовлетворяет стандартному (А)-условию Ладыженской—Уральцевой и известному условию внешнего конуса. Также приведены некоторые применения полученных результатов к различным процессам диффузии и поглощения в анизотропных и неоднородных средах.

Ключевые слова: задача Дирихле, полулинейные эллиптические уравнения, конформные и квазikonформные отражения, анизотропные и неоднородные среды.